No.	State/UT	PSBs	Co[illegible] Bank[illegible]	RRBs	Total	Amount (Rs. Lakhs)
19.	Punjab	77792		2996	80788	37566.94
20.	Rajasthan	28913	928[illegible]	5381	957759	142152.29
21.	Sikkim	12	[illegible]	—	12	3.52
22.	Tamil Nadu	71782	123	1681	85750	19126.97
23.	Tripura	133		3	202	25.59
24.	Uttar Pradesh	144462		19863	164325	37515.34
25.	West Bengal	25610	[illegible]	633	28975	4190.19
26.	A & N Island	28	[illegible]	—	263	29.08
27.	Chandigarh	—		—	—	—
28.	Daman	—		—	—	—
29.	Delhi	42		—	42	92.10
30.	D & N Haveli	—		—	—	—
31.	Diu	—		—	—	—
32.	Lakshadweep	29		—	29	6.27
33.	Pondicherry	605	[illegible]	—	605	152.10
	TOTAL :	989151	364[illegible]	157745	4788373	732625.89

State Government Guarantee for Refinancing Co-Operative Credit Institut[illegible]

5395. SHRI LAJPAT RAI: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for being necessary [illegible]BARD to obtain Government guarantee for refinancing the Co-opera[illegible] Institutions;

(b) whether NABARD is conside[illegible]aive off such a condition;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor; a[illegible]

(d) if so, by when such a condit[illegible] removed?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN [illegible]STRY OF FINANCE (SHRI BALASAHEB VIKHE PATIL): (a) Nati[illegible]nk for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) has reporte[illegible]t under the law and practices of banking business, loans and advances [illegible]nctioned/released on the strength of certain securities. State Governme[illegible]uarantee is obtained by NABARD for its refinance to State Co-oper[illegible]Banks [illegible]Bs)/State Co-operative Agriculture and Rural Developmen[illegible]nd any other financial institution approved by Reserve Ban[illegible]ringly only in cases where the same is a statutory requireme[illegible] financial position of the constituent is unsatisfactory. S[illegible]g[illegible]sisted by NABARD

as a matter of prudent policy where the financial position and operational ency of the borrowing institutions cannot be considered good in relation e quantum of refinance required by them for adequately meeting the credit s at ground level. Further, wherever Govt. Guarantee is a requirement der the relevant provisions of NABARD Act (Section 22 and 28 of NABARD ct 1981), NABARD insists on the same. State Govt. guarantee is also stipulated by NABARD for providing refinance on behalf of DCCBs not complying with the provision of Section 11(1) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949.

(b) to (d) NABARD has evolved suitable norms to waive State Government guarantee and progressively link the banks' eligibility, quantum refinance support, etc. to their financial and operational strength as reflected by recovery/non performing asset (NPA) levels, audit classification, etc. Thus, under its existing policy, NABARD does not insist on State Government guarantee for sanction of short term (Seasonal Agricultural Operations) credit limits to scheduled SCBs on behalf of District Central Co-operative Banks (DCCBs). Similarly, short term credit limits to scheduled SCBs for weavers' operations on behalf of DCCBs with recovery level of atleast 60% schematic refinance on behalf of DCCBs with recovery level of atleast 80% and the entire refinance assistance for activities under non-farm sector to DCCBs having A/B classification in audit are provided by NABARD without Government Guarantee. In some case where SCBs do not fulfil the requirement of NABARD and are also not in a position to arrange Govt. guarantee NABARD refinance is still made available by accepting pledge of Govt. Trustee securities in lieu of Govt. guarantee.

Deputation of SC/ST officers for training abroad

5396. SHRI RAM DEO BHANDARY: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government nominate officers for training to the reputed Foreign Institutions for improving their academic, managerial, administrative and technical capabilities in various fields at the cost of the public exchequer;

(b) if so, the number of officers sent abroad for both the short term and long term training courses abroad during the last five years, year-wise;

(c) the number of officers belonging the SC/ST category among such officers sent abroad for the said training abroad; and

(d) the reasons for not deputing adequate number of SC/ST officers?